Data backgrounder for niqab opinion Ottawa Citizen, September 26, 2015 Peter Loewen, University of Toronto Daniel Rubenson, Ryerson University

<u>Background</u>

This short document describes the data, weights, methods, and results discussed in our Ottawa Citizen column, which appears in print on October 10. All data come from The Local Parliament Project, which is a joint effort with Royce Koop (Manitoba). Research assistance and further data analysis were provided by Lior Sheffer, Maxime Heroux-Legault, Benjamin Allen Stevens, and Alex Mierke-Zatwarnicki. Any errors in analysis or interpretation are our own.

The Local Parliament Project is one of the largest ever studies of Canadian public opinion. Throughout the 2015 general election we are surveying Canadians to find out not only whom they intend to vote for, but what issues are important to them. We are generating important insights on how public opinion varies across the country, how Canadians are linked to their communities, and how this matters for their political choices.

Over the course of the campaign, we will be using these data to provide insights on how Canadians feel about our political leaders, which issues they feel are important, and how they've reacted to important campaign events.

Anyone can participate in our study by going to <u>www.localparliament.ca</u>. However, only data provided by our sample provider are included in these reports.

<u>Data</u>

Beginning August 26th, we have sampled approximately 600-800 Canadian adults per day. Our effective sample for this analysis ends on October 6, inclusive, providing a sample of 26,510 individuals. For estimates using the entire sample, this suggests 95% credibility intervals of 0.60 percentage points (at 50%).¹ Our survey is hosted on the Qualtrics platform. Our sample vendor is Research Now.

To maximize the representativeness of the inferences we make from our data, we sometimes apply a "raking" or iterative proportional fitting weighting algorithm. In

¹ While I do not report confidence intervals for the mean quantities below, it is not my belief that such intervals cannot be constructed for online samples.

particular, we use the ipfweight command in STATA14, weighting on the following variables:

- O Age category (18-34, 35-54, 55+)
- O Gender (Male, Female)²
- O Education (Non-university graduates, university graduates)
- O Language of survey completion (English, French)

We use provincial population frequencies as a start or "design" weight. We limit the maximum number of fitting iterations to 50. We set upper weight thresholds of 5 and lower weight thresholds of .2. Or mean weight above 1 is 1.28 (sd .16). Our mean weight below 1 is .66 (sd .20). Our maximum and minimum weights are .20 and 2.26. More information on our weighting scheme is available upon request.

Question wording

The following is the complete question wording for all variables used in our analysis. We present them in the order they appear in the survey. Our complete instrument is available by taking our survey at <u>www.localparliament.ca</u>.

v_noleader Which party do you think you will vote for?

- The Liberal Party (1)
- The Conservative Party (2)
- **O** The New Democratic Party (3)

If In which province/territory do you live? Quebec Is Selected

The Bloc Québécois (4)

- **O** The Green Party (5)
- Undecided (6)

Ο

- O Don't know (7)
 - How did you vote in the 2011 federal election?
 - O Liberal (1)
 - O Conservative (2)
 - New Democratic Party (3)
 - O Bloc Québécois (4)
 - O Green (5)
 - None of these (6)
 - I did not vote (7)
 - **O** I was not eligible to vote (9)

² We do provide respondents an "other" category, but the reported quantities are too small to weight on.

O Don't know (8)

Q579 Women wearing a niqab/face covering should be forced to reveal their face when giving an oath of citizenship.

- Strongly disagree (1)
- O Disagree (2)
- Neither agree nor disagree (3)
- O Agree (4)
- Strongly agree (5)
- **O** Don't know (6)

Q580 How important is this issue to you?

- **O** Not important at all (1)
- **O** Somewhat important (2)
- Very important (3)
- **O** Don't know (4)

Q582 Women working in the federal civil service should not be allowed to wear niqab/face covering when interacting with the public.

- O Strongly disagree (1)
- **O** Disagree (2)
- **O** Neither agree nor disagree (3)
- O Agree (4)
- Strongly agree (5)
- O Don't know (6)

Q583 How important is this issue to you?

- Not important at all (1)
- Somewhat important (2)
- Very important (3)
- **O** Don't know (4)

born Were you born in Canada?

- **O** Yes (1)
- **O** No (2)

The Local Parliament Project - Data Backgrounder

religion1 Do you belong to a religion or a religious denomination? If yes, which one? O No (1)

- **O** Roman Catholic (2)
- **O** Protestant (3)
- **O** Jewish (4)
- O Muslim (5)
- O Hindu (6)
- **O** Orthodox (Russian/Greek/etc.) (7)
- **O** Buddhism (9)
- **O** Other (10)

<u>Results</u>

Our annotated results are contained in the log file which follows. If you have any questions, suggestions, or concerns, please feel free to contact me at 647.232.7335 or <u>peter.loewen@utoronto.ca</u>.

```
name:
              <unnamed>
         log:
              /Users/peterjohnloewen/Dropbox/local-parliament/Columns/niqab.smcl
    log type:
              smcl
                9 Oct 2015, 15:54:59
   opened on:
1.
2 . ****Views on the niqab
з.
4 . **citizenship ceremonies - top line, by 2011 vote, and by immigrant
5.
6 . tab Q579 [iweight=weight]
 Women wearing a niqab/face
   covering should be forced
   to reveal their face when
                                    Freq.
                                              Percent
                                                              Cum.
                           g
           Strongly disagree
                                                              8.24
                              135.8547136
                                                  8.24
                    Disagree
                               92.0402652
                                                  5.58
                                                             13.83
 Neither agree nor disagree
                               173.023033
                                                 10.50
                                                             24.33
                               309.122459
                                                 18.76
                                                             43.08
                       Agree
              Strongly agree
                               875.609353
                                                             96.21
                                                 53.13
                  Don't know
                               62.4290366
                                                  3.79
                                                            100.00
                       Total 1,648.0789
                                                100.00
```

7 . tab Q579 vote2011 [iweight=weight], col

ı

Key frequency column percentage

Women wearing a niqab/face covering should be forced to reveal their face when g	How di Liberal	d you vote Conservat	in the 2011 New Democ	. federal e Bloc Qué	lection? Green	
Strongly disagree	44.684957 15.50	11.341191 2.59	27.0625 8.65	3.9202756 4.31	3.9774769 4.84	90.9
Disagree	21.56276 7.48	12.727597 2.91	22.091781 7.06	2.467756 2.71	3.2742701 3.99	62.12
Neither agree nor dis	37.365173 12.96	34.661639 7.92	32.307403 10.32	5.4366669 5.98	18.3352862 22.33	128.
Agree	69.4702327 24.09	81.742206 18.68	54.469277 17.41	9.9334145 10.92	17.725808 21.59	233.
	1					



Strongly agree	108.08218 37.49	291.15658 66.55	172.179 55.02	68.029438 74.78	31.034057 37.80	670.
Don't know	7.1540036 2.48	5.8783251 1.34	4.8325257 1.54	1.1876616 1.31	7.7580401 9.45	26.8
Total	288.31931 100.00	437.50754 100.00	312.94249 100.00	90.975213 100.00	82.104939 100.00	1,21

8 . tab Q579 born [iweight=weight], col

Кеу	
frequency	
column percentage	

Total	born in ada? No	Were you Can Yes	Women wearing a niqab/face covering should be forced to reveal their face when g	
135.85471	16.915154	118.93956	Strongly disagree	
8.24	9.71	8.07		
92.040265	8.522392	83.517873	Disagree	
5.58	4.89	5.67		
173.02303	23.224578	149.79846	Neither agree nor dis	
10.50	13.33	10.16		
309.12246	33.006387	276.11607	Agree	
18.76	18.94	18.74		
875.60935	88.319367	787.28999	Strongly agree	
53.13	50.68	53.42		
62.429037	4.2950828	58.133954	Don't know	
3.79	2.46	3.94		
1,648.079	174.28296 100.00	1,473.7959 100.00	Total	

```
9 .
10 . ***public service workers
11 .
12 . tab Q582 [iweight=weight]
```

Women working in the federal civil service



Cum.	Percent	Freq.	should not be allowed to wear niqab/f
9.46 19.43 32.24 51.62 96.20 100.00	9.46 9.97 12.81 19.39 44.58 3.80	155.851017 164.317459 211.090749 319.511508 734.648585 62.6595433	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree Don't know
	100.00	1,648.0789	Total

13 . tab Q582 vote2011 [iweight=weight], col

Кеу
frequency
column percentage

Women working in the federal civil service should not be allowed to wear niqab/f	How di Liberal	d you vote Conservat	in the 2012 New Democ	l federal e Bloc Qué	lection? Green	
Strongly disagree	36.65234 12.71	28.590367 6.53	27.13194 8.67	6.6312641 7.29	6.608254 8.05	105.
Disagree	47.72884 16.55	35.985533 8.23	32.139712 10.27	1.1930512 1.31	5.9271876 7.22	122.
Neither agree nor dis	38.141752 13.23	44.168313 10.10	37.045776 11.84	2.39113766 2.63	22.048551 26.85	143.
Agree	52.4000341 18.17	107.0709 24.47	60.142715 19.22	8.3211168 9.15	10.730087 13.07	238.
Strongly agree	108.71309 37.71	215.36337 49.23	148.31658 47.39	70.537704 77.54	30.8771137 37.61	573.
Don't know	4.6832483 1.62	6.3290508 1.45	8.1657674 2.61	1.9009385 2.09	5.9137452 7.20	26.
Total	288.31931 100.00	437.50754 100.00	312.94249 100.00	90.975213 100.00	82.104939 100.00	1,21

14 . tab Q582 born [iweight=weight], col

Кеу		
	frequency	



column percentage

Women working in the federal civil service should not be allowed to wear niqab/f	Were you Can Yes	born in ada? No	Total
Strongly disagree	141.53361	14.317404	155.851017
	9.60	8.22	9.46
Disagree	150.69961	13.617853	164.31746
	10.23	7.81	9.97
Neither agree nor dis	183.07539	28.015361	211.09075
	12.42	16.07	12.81
Agree	273.76792	45.743586	319.51151
	18.58	26.25	19.39
Strongly agree	664.79156	69.857025	734.64858
	45.11	40.08	44.58
Don't know	59.9278107	2.7317326	62.659543
	4.07	1.57	3.80
Total	1,473.7959 100.00	174.28296 100.00	1,648.079

```
15 .
16 . ***Conservative vote by immigrants
17 .
18 . gen CON=0 if firstchoice<6
  (16107 missing values generated)
19 . replace CON=1 if firstchoice==2
   (4813 real changes made)
20.
21 .
22 . xi: estsimp logit CON age gender education income immigrant i.religion1 i.province
  i.religion1
                   _Ireligion1_1-10 (naturally coded; _Ireligion1_1 omitted)
                    _Iprovince_1-12
  i.province
                                      (naturally coded; _Iprovince_1 omitted)
  Iteration 0:
                 log likelihood = -9120.2334
  Iteration 1:
                 log likelihood = -8277.7615
  Iteration 2:
                 log likelihood = -8260.9518
                 log likelihood = -8260.9045
  Iteration 3:
  Iteration 4:
                 log likelihood = -8260.9045
  Logistic regression
                                                   Number of obs =
                                                                         14805
                                                   LR chi2(22)
                                                                  =
                                                                        1718.66
                                                   Prob > chi2
                                                                        0.0000
                                                                 =
```



Log likelihood = -8260.9045

Pseudo R2 = 0.0942

CON	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.0113498	.0012464	9.11	0.000	.0089069	.0137928
gender	3047111	.038978	-7.82	0.000	3811066	2283156
education	1146246	.0138023	-8.30	0.000	1416767	0875726
income	.1054532	.0084839	12.43	0.000	.0888251	.1220813
immigrant	.3206754	.059076	5.43	0.000	.2048885	.4364623
_Ireligion~2	.2759311	.0493243	5.59	0.000	.1792573	.3726049
_Ireligion~3	.906007	.055901	16.21	0.000	.796443	1.015571
_Ireligion~4	1.442669	.1454411	9.92	0.000	1.15761	1.727729
_Ireligion~5	7679949	.2285448	-3.36	0.001	-1.215934	3200554
_Ireligion~6	3701227	.2483912	-1.49	0.136	8569605	.1167151
_Ireligion~7	.2194429	.1549478	1.42	0.157	0842492	.523135
_Ireligion~9	2607334	.1933902	-1.35	0.178	6397712	.1183043
_Ireligio~10	.502999	.0751024	6.70	0.000	.355801	.6501969
_Iprovince_2	9950823	.0752906	-13.22	0.000	-1.142649	8475154
_Iprovince_3	6166658	.1088291	-5.67	0.000	8299669	4033647
_Iprovince_4	-1.19859	.1451346	-8.26	0.000	-1.483049	9141318
_Iprovince_5	-1.395077	.1893422	-7.37	0.000	-1.766181	-1.023973
_Iprovince_7	-1.557382	.1463291	-10.64	0.000	-1.844182	-1.270583
_Iprovince_9	9284233	.0619671	-14.98	0.000	-1.049877	80697
_Iprovinc~10	-2.255594	.4380377	-5.15	0.000	-3.114132	-1.397056
_Iprovinc~11	-1.603464	.069899	-22.94	0.000	-1.740463	-1.466464
_Iprovinc~12	6807284	.1155112	-5.89	0.000	9071261	4543306
_cons	3108541	.1195866	-2.60	0.009	5452396	0764686

Simulating main parameters. Please wait.... % of simulations completed: 4% 8% 13% 17% 21% 26% 30% 34% 39% 43% 47% 52% 56% 60% 65 > 95% 100%

Number of simulations : 1000 Names of new variables : b1 b2 b3 b4 b5 b6 b7 b8 b9 b10 b11 b12 b13 b14 b15 b16 b17

23 . setx mean

24 . simqi

Quantity of Interest	Mean	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Pr(CON=0)	.7179374	.0042476	.7098339	.7266971
Pr(CON=1)	.2820626		.2733029	.2901661

25 . setx immigrant 0

26 . simqi

Quantity of Interest	Mean	Std. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Pr(CON=0)	.7265192	.0045074	.7176793	.7352251
Pr(CON=1)	.2734808	.0045074	.2647749	.2823208



```
27 . setx immigrant 1
28 . simqi
28 . simqi
29 .
29 .
30 . **effect is approximately 7 percentage points
31 .
32 .
33 . log close
    name: <unnamed>
    log: /Users/peterjohnloewen/Dropbox/local-parliament/Columns/niqab.smcl
    log type: smcl
    closed on: 9 Oct 2015, 15:55:02
```